

Communication

Multicomponent Radical Processes: Synthesis of Substituted Piperidinones

Edouard Godineau, and Yannick Landais

J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129 (42), 12662-12663• DOI: 10.1021/ja0757551 • Publication Date (Web): 29 September 2007

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on February 14, 2009



More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 4 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





Multicomponent Radical Processes: Synthesis of Substituted Piperidinones

Edouard Godineau and Yannick Landais*

Université Bordeaux-1, Institut des Sciences Moléculaires, UMR-CNRS-5255, 351, Cours de la Libération,

33405 Talence, France

Received August 1, 2007; E-mail: y.landais@ism.u-bordeaux1.fr

Multicomponent reactions (MCR)¹ allow the rapid building up of functionalized molecules in a single operation, with high bondforming efficiency² and minimal functional group manipulations. Although historically these reactions first implied components assembled in an ionic fashion, several MCR have been reported that involve radical processes. Three-3 and four-4 component radical reactions have thus been described that demonstrate the high synthetic potential associated with these radical cascades. Combination of radical and ionic processes has also been reported that furnish in a one-pot operation, highly functionalized substrates.⁵ In this communication, we report a new and straightforward method for accessing ubiquitous piperidine skeleton I in a one-pot operation using such dual mechanisms. The piperidine unit has received considerable attention due to its abundance in naturally occurring and designed targets of biological relevance.^{6,7} Our strategy relies on three- and four-component reactions (3-CR and 4-CR) in which I is assembled through a formal [2 + 2 + 2]-process,⁸ involving a tandem radical intermolecular additions-lactamization sequence, starting from readily available building blocks (Figure 1). The first step would involve the intermolecular reaction between a carbonylsubstituted radical A and an olefin B, generating a new nucleophilic carboradical which would then be trapped by an appropriate oxime $C_{1\!\cdot}$ At this stage, two C–C bonds would be generated upon two intermolecular radical events, the sequence being terminated by the formation of the C-N bond via an irreversible ionic ring closure.9 It was also envisaged that a careful choice of the unsaturated nitrogen-component, i.e., C2 would bring the cascade to an even higher degree of complexity through the introduction of a fourth component **D**. In this sequential 4-CR, a control of the C2-C3 relative configuration of the piperidinone through 1,2-stereoinduction may also be envisaged.

The first part of our study was devoted to the identification of the best reaction conditions and partner's ratios (Table 1). Among the several initiators tested, Et₃B/O₂ in CH₂Cl₂ was found to be the most convenient to promote the radical chain transfer and mediate the lactamization.¹⁰ Ambiphilic radicals A are known to react efficiently with activated olefins¹¹ but also with electron-poor oximes.12,13 Initial competitive experiments clearly showed that the radical arising either from 1a or $1b^{14}$ added faster onto allylsilane 2a than onto oxime 3a, only traces of 6 being detected. The second intermolecular process is also involved in competitive additions. We thus found that secondary nucleophilic radicals-formed during the first event-added to 3a inherently faster than ethyl radicals generated from Et₃B. Eventually, decreasing the proportion of ethyl radicals by repeated minute injections of air led to reduced amounts of undesired 5. Additional experimentations (Table 1) were then carried out to define the most suitable precursor's ratio. In the presence of an excess of olefin 2a, xanthate 1b led to the desired piperidinone 4a but only in modest yields (entries 1-2). Significantly better yields of 4a were observed using α -iodoester 1a (entry 4); however, a small amount of olefin 7 was produced. Formation



Figure 1. Three- and four-component formal [2 + 2 + 2]-synthesis of piperidinones.



PhO´ 1a, X 1b, X	O X BnO = I = SC(S)OEt	SiMe₂Pł 2a N ^{∽⊂} CO₂E	$\frac{\text{Et}_{3}\text{B} (2 \in \text{CH}_{2}\text{C})}{\text{CH}_{2}\text{C}}$	eq.)/ O ₂	SiMe ₂ Ph N CO ₂ Et OBn 4a				
$\begin{array}{c c} & & & NHOBn & & PhO_2C \\ & & & PhO_2C & & CO_2Et & 6 & & 7 \end{array}$									
	ester	olefin	oxime	yield ^{a,b} 4a	side-products ^a				
entry	(equiv)	(equiv)	(equiv)	(%)	(yield %)				
1	1b (1)	2a (2)	3a (2)	35	_				
2	1b (1)	2a (2)	3a (1)	43	5 (18), 6 (12%)				
3	1a (1)	2a (2)	3a (2)	47	5 (25%)				
4	1a (2)	2a (2)	3a (1)	71	6 (12), 7 (17%)				
5	1a (1.5)	2a (2)	3a (1)	74	5 (11)				

^a Isolated yields. ^b dr 1:1.

of **7** only occurs in the presence of an excess of **1a** and likely results from an iodine atom transfer reaction followed by a subsequent β -elimination of PhMe₂SiI. This was eventually suppressed by using only 1.5 equiv of **1a** (entry 5). Under these conditions, **4a** was obtained in a notable 74% yield, given the number of crossover products that can be formed.

With the above conditions in hand, the 3-CR was then extended to a series of olefins and oximes (Table 2). Reactive oximes such as **3a** and **3b**¹⁵ provided the desired piperidinones in good yields. As expected, the reaction is more efficient with electron-richer olefins such as **2a**-**c** (entries 1–4) as compared to **2d** (entry 5). Disubstituted olefins such as **2e** led to piperidinones having a quaternary center albeit in modest yield (entry 6).

A sequential 4-CR was then envisioned, based on the utilization of sulfonyl oximes such as $3c^{13}$ (Scheme 1). It was anticipated that radical addition onto these oximes should be followed by a fast β -fragmentation of the PhSO₂ group, resulting in the generation of a new, unactivated oxime that could then be engaged in further reactions with a fourth component. Reaction conditions above were slightly modified for this purpose, i.e. xanthate **1b** was found to provide better yields than **1a**, and (Bu₃Sn)₂ instead of Et₃B was Table 2. Three-Component Radical Cascade

P	hO 1a (1.5 eq.)	R' (1-3 BnON F (1 eq.)	a-e 5 eq.) $Et_3B (2 \text{ e})$ CH_2C CH_2C $3a, R'' = CO_2E$ 3b, R'' = H	eq.)/O ₂ I ₂ ,rt	O N ÖBn 4a-h	R' R"
	olefin					yield ^a
entry	(equiv)	oxime	R	R′	product	(%)
1	2b (1)	3a	OPiv	Н	4b	64^{b}
2	2b (5)	3a	OPiv	Н	4b	88^b
3	2b (5)	3a	OPiv	Н	4b	$92^{b,c}$
4	2c (2)	3a	OAc	Н	4 c	81^{b}
5	2d (2)	3a	$n-C_6H_{11}$	Н	4d	32^d
6	2e (2)	3a	OAc	Me	4e	31^{b}
7	2a (2)	3b	CH ₂ SiMe ₂ Ph	Н	4f	80
8	2b (2)	3b	OPiv	Н	4g	55
9	2b (20)	3b	OPiv	Н	4g	72
10	2d (2)	3b	$n-C_6H_{11}$	Н	4 h	45

^a Isolated yields. ^b dr 1:1. ^c Reaction run at -78 °C. ^d dr 3:1.

Scheme 1. Four-Component [2 + 2 + 2]-Radical Cascade



^a 52% based on recovered 8. ^b68% based on recovered 8.

Scheme 2. Four-Component [2 + 2 + 2]-Radical-Organometallic Cascade



used to sustain the radical chain. Under these conditions, oxime intermediate 8 was obtained¹⁶ and treated in situ with an alkyl iodide and a Lewis acid (BF₃-OEt₂).¹⁷ The expected piperidinones 9a-d were isolated in reasonable overall yield and moderate to good diastereocontrol in favor of the trans-diastereomer (Scheme 1).18,19 Secondary radicals were found to be efficient partners, furnishing higher degrees of stereocontrol.

Organometallic reagents (Scheme 2) were also envisaged as fourth components. Whereas alkyl-lithium and Grignard reagents reacted unselectively with ester and oxime functions, we found that allylzinc reagents added chemoselectively onto the oxime moiety²⁰ to afford the desired disubstituted piperidinones 10a-c in reasonable overall yield and high level of diastereocontrol.²¹ Up to three stereogenic centers (10c) could thus be generated in a single-pot operation. It is finally noteworthy to add that the radical cascade can also be conducted under tin-free conditions, using oxime 3d13b,14 and DLP as an initiator. Under these conditions, 10a was obtained in 48% overall yield (7.5:1 dr).

In summary, we have described a cascade reaction with high bond-forming efficiency-up to three C-C bonds and one C-N bond-leading to useful highly substituted piperidinones with up to three stereogenic centers generated in one pot from readily available reagents. The combination of radical cascades and organometallic processes in a single pot displays a high synthetic potential that should attract a substantial interest in the future.

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge MNERT for a grant (E.G.) and CNRS and Région Aquitaine for financial support.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental and spectral data for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) For a comprehensive review, see: Zhu, J.; Bienaymé, H. Multicomponent Reactions; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2005.
- Tietze, L. F.; Brasche, D. G.; Gericke, K. M. Domino Reactions in Organic Synthesis; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2007; p 542.
- Selected examples see: (a) Renaud, P.; Ollivier, C.; Panchaud, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 3460. (b) Schaffner, A. P.; Sarkunan, K.; Renaud, P. Helv. Chim. Acta 2006, 89, 2450. (c) Russell, G. A.; Kulkarni, S. V. *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 2678. (4) Ryu, I.; Yamazaki, H.; Ogawa, A.; Kambe, N.; Sonoda, N. J. Am. Chem.
- Soc. 1993, 115, 1187.
- (5) (a) Bazin, S.; Feray, L.; Vanthuyne, N.; Bertrand, M. P. *Tetrahedron* 2005, 61, 4261. (b) Curran, D. P.; Ko, S.-B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998, 39, 6629.
 (c) Takai, K.; Matsukawa, N.; Takahashi, A.; Fujii, T. *Angew. Chem.*, Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 152. (d) Ueda, M.; Miyabe, H.; Sugino, H.; Miyata, O.; Naito, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 6190. Weintraub, P. M.; Sabol, J. S.; Kane, J. M.; Borcherding, D. R.
- (6)Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 2953 and refs therein.
- (a) Lemire, A.; Grenon, M.; Pourashraf, M.; Charette, A. B. Org. Lett. (7)2004, 6, 3517. (b) Oshitari, T.; Mandai, T. Synlett 2006, 3395.
- Godineau, E.; Schäfer, C.; Landais, Y. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 4871
- (9) Hart, D. J.; Magomedov, N. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 5892.
- (10) Darmency, V.; Renaud, P. Top. Curr. Chem. 2006, 263, 71.
- (11) Curran, D. P. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Fleming, I., Trost, B. M., Eds.; Pergamon Press, 1991; p 715.
- (12) McNabb, B. S.; Ueda, M.; Naito, T. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1911.
- (13) (a) Kim, S.; Lee, I. Y.; Yoon, J.-Y.; Oh, D. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 5138. (b) Kim, S.; Song, H.-J.; Choi, T.-L.; Yoon, J. Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 2524.
- (14) Zard, S. Z. In Radicals in Organic Synthesis; Renaud, P., Sibi, M. P., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2001; Vol. 1, p 90. (15) Hart, D. J.; Seely, F. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1988**, 110, 1631.
- (16) **3c** proved to be an efficient partner with various olefins providing new substituted oximes such as **8** in good yield (50-80%) and high *E/Z* selectivity (>95:5)
- Miyabe, H.; Shibata, R.; Sangawa, M.; Ushiro, C.; Naito, T. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 11431.
- (18) Miyabe, H.; Fujii, K.; Naito, T. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 381.
- (19) For the assignment of the stereochemistry see Supporting Information.
- (20) Hanessian, S.; Yang, R.-Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 8997.
- (21)The stereochemistry of 10b,c was assigned on the basis of X-ray structure determination of 10a.

JA075755L